

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

W/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,627	07/21/2003	Luan C. Tran	MI22-2358	6592	
21567 75	590 02/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WELLS ST. JOHN P.S. 601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201			KENNEDY, JENNIFER M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2812		
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/200	DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/624,627	TRAN, LUAN C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer M. Kennedy	2812				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	<u>ıly 2003</u> .					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>62-67</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>62-67</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	· · · · · ·				
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau		u III tilis Mational Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` '//	d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/03, 11/13/03, 3/10/04						

Application/Control Number: 10/624,627

Art Unit: 2812

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless - .

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 62-63 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,180,468).

In re claim 62, Yu et al. disclose a method of forming a semiconductor construction, comprising:

forming a dielectric material over a semiconductive substrate material (26, 28, 30);

patterning the dielectric material to form at least two patterned blocks, a pair of adjacent blocks being separated by a first gap, each block having a sidewall within the first gap (26, 28, 30, see column 3, lines 13-20 and column 4, lines 14-18);

forming a pair of spacers (32, 34) along the sidewalls and within the first gap, the spacers having lateral edges separated by a gap, the second gap being narrower than the first gap (see Figure 4);

while the spacers remain along the sidewalls, implanting at least one dopant into the semiconductive material within the second gap to form a doped region (see Figure 4 and column4, lines 27-32); and

removing the spacers from along the sidewalls (see Figure 5 and column 4, lines 27-32).

In re claim 63, Yu et al. disclose the method further comprising after removing the spacers, forming a layer of polysilicon over the semiconductive material within the gap and along the sidewalls (see column 4, lines 38-42).

In re claim 66, Yu et al. disclose the method wherein the at least one dopant comprises indium (see column 4, lines 27-32).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 64-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,180,468) in view of Shenai et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,985,740).

In re claim 64, Yu et al. disclose the method as claimed and rejected above including the method of planarizing the gate (see column 4, lines 37-42), but do not disclose the method further comprising depositing a material comprising at least one of a metal and a metal nitride over the polysilicon layer and wherein the material comprises tungsten.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,627

Art Unit: 2812

Shenai et al. disclose the method further comprising depositing a material comprising at least one of a metal and a metal nitride over the polysilicon layer of a gate and wherein the material comprises tungsten (see column 5, lines 22-35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a tungsten layer over the polysilicon gate of Yu et al. in order to allow for low sheet resistance (see abstract).

Claim 67 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,180,468).

In re claim 67, Yu et al. disclose the method as claimed and rejected above including forming the second gap which has a width that is about half the width of the first gap, but does not explicitly disclose the method wherein the second gap is less than or equal to half the width of the first gap.

The examiner notes that Applicant does not teach that the relative widths of the first gap and second gap solves any stated problem or are for any particular purpose, other than that for the second gap to be narrower than the first gap. Therefore, forming the second gap wherein the second gap is less than or equal to half the width of the first gap lacks criticality in the claimed invention and does not produce unexpected or novel results. Thus, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to form the second gap to have a width less than or equal to half the width of the first trench since it would have allowed for the channel dopant to be spaced apart from the source drain region as desired in Yu et al. (see column 2, lines 10-45) and would allow for miniaturization of the

Art Unit: 2812

device and since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233, MPEP 2144.05 II A.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer M. Kennedy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1672. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael S. Lebentritt can be reached on (571) 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer M. Kennedy Patent Examiner Art Unit 2812

imk